NM EQIP FY	2005 Rank	king Criteria	a Worksheet	- Grazing Lands -	F.O.	
Applicant:		Farm No	Tract No	CMS Field No's.	Date:	
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Lar	nd		Preliminary Rating	Final Rating	

1. Plants - 80 Potential Points (40% of Total)

	d of evaluation use d on MLRA, etc. <u>OR</u> igated lands. Do not	% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points
Grazing	Intensive Rotation			20		
Plan	Seasonal Use			10		
Fiaii	Continuous Use			0	0	0
	>90% of Growing Se	ason		30		
Deferrment	51-90% of Growing S	Season		20		
Period	26-50% of Growing S	Season		10		
	0-25% of Growing Se	eason		5		
Stocking Rate	>65acre	es/aum		30		
Based On:	50-65 ac	res/aum		20		
MLRA SITE	35-49 ac	res/aum		10		
SI: 26-50	35 acre	s/aum		5		
(Irr Lands)	>9 acre	s/aum		30		
Stocking Rate	6-9 acre	es/aum		20		
Based on Soils Data & Ag Tech	3-6 acre	es/aum		10		
Note 41	3 acres	s/aum		5		
	•	•	1. Plants	Total:*		

^{*} If no change occurs above

do not continue to rank!

Total ranking score is zero.

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 100 Potential Points (50% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil (Sheet and Rill, and/or Wind Erosion):			
Brush Management (314) (Chemical or Mechanical)	10		
Critical Area Planting (342)	10		
Soil (Ephemeral Gully and Concentrated Flow):			
Erosion Control Structure (362) (348)	10		
Plants (Productivity, Health, and Vigor)			
Prescribed Grazing (528A)	10		
Brush Management (314) (Chemical or Mechanical)	10		
Water Quantity	_		
Fencing (382)	10		
Livestock Pipeline (516)	10		
Trough or Tank (614)	10		
Well (642)	10		
Additional Practices that address other LWG resource concerns:			
Wildlife Watering Facility (648), Pest Management (595), Spring Development (574)	10		
(5 points each, not to exceed 10 points total)			
			_
2. Conservation Practice Selection	Total:		-

NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands	F.O)
--	-----	---

3. Other Considerations - 20 Potential Points (10% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item E.	Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	5	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	5	0	
3. Other Considerations	Total:	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1	Section 2	Section 3	Total for Worksheet*
Designated Conservationist	Date	_	

Revised Nov. 2004